

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

IN RE: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability  
Litigation

No. MDL15-2641-PHX DGC

Sherr-Una Booker, an individual,  
Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER**

C.R. Bard, Inc., a New Jersey  
Corporation, et al.,

Defendants

Upon consideration of the parties' joint stipulation on motions in limine.  
Doc. 9861.

**IT IS ORDERED** that the parties' joint stipulation on motions in limine  
(Doc. 9861) is **granted**. The parties are prohibited from making any reference or  
argument, or adducing any evidence or attempting to elicit any evidence in front of the  
jury suggesting and/or concerning the following topics, unless the issue is first raised  
with the Court outside the presence of the jury:

1. Domestic violence charges, allegations or evidence related to the domestic  
violence incident;
2. Abortions or healthcare services related to Plaintiff's pregnancies;

3. Plaintiff conceiving a child out of wedlock;
4. Whether a venereal disease was the cause of Plaintiff's cervical cancer;
5. A misdemeanor charge against Plaintiff for leaving her children in a car;
6. Plaintiff's prior claims from a 1996 auto accident involving a fractured foot and back/neck injuries;
7. Termination of Plaintiff's employment prior to her open chest surgery;
8. Plaintiff's relationship with the father of second child while married;
9. Plaintiff's receipt of compensation from some source other than the damages sought against Defendant. (collateral source);
10. Advertising by Plaintiff's counsel, Plaintiff's counsel specializing in personal injury and/or products liability litigation, contingency fee agreements, and/or advertising by any counsel nationally for Bard IVC Filter cases and/or any other IVC filter cases;
11. Other lawsuit or claims against Defendants;
12. Plaintiff could not pay her medical bills or reference to medical liens due to lack of health insurance/financial resources;
13. C.R. Bard, Inc.'s 1994 criminal conviction.

Nothing in this stipulation prohibits any party from raising these issues with the Court outside the presence of the jury, in the event they believe that evidence or events at trial render the topics relevant and admissible.

Dated this 22nd day of February, 2018.



---

David G. Campbell  
United States District Judge